

Causes of vowel reduction in English: an argument from word-final consonants

Sarah Collie, LEL

For English, it is generally argued that there is a symmetrical relationship between vowel reduction and stress, such that the ‘reduced’ vowel schwa is unstressed, and all other vowels (i.e. ‘full’ vowels) are stressed (e.g. Ross, 1972; Halle & Vergnaud, 1987; Pater, 2000). This assumption leads to a number of complications in the stress system of English, notably Elfner’s (2007) proposal for stress assignment which is sensitive to the place of articulation of word-final stops.

Elfner (2007) is the most recent treatment of phenomena noted by Ross (1972). Ross observes a contrast in the reduction of vowels preceding word-final stops in certain nouns: vowels preceding word-final coronal stops have a tendency to reduce, as in the examples (1), whereas those preceding final velar and labial stops tend to be full, as in the examples in (2) (pronunciations for British English from Wells (2000)).

(1) Word-final coronal stops

<i>chariot</i> [ə]	<i>cheviot</i> [ə]	<i>Connecticut</i> [ə]
<i>idiot</i> [ə]	<i>Iliad</i> [ə/æ]	<i>Lilliput</i> [ʌ/ə]
<i>Mohammed</i> [ɪ/ə/ε]	<i>myriad</i> [ə]	<i>period</i> [ə]

(2) Word-final velar and labials stops

<i>Ahab</i> [æ]	<i>Aztec</i> [ε]	<i>baobab</i> [æ]
<i>bebop</i> [ɒ]	<i>Beelzebub</i> [ʌ/ə]	<i>Cantab</i> [æ]
<i>Carnap</i> [æ]	<i>demagog</i> [ɒ]	<i>handicap</i> [æ]

In order to account for these tendencies, Elfner proposes that English has contrastive coda-consonant weight: velar and labial stops always count as moraic, but word-final coronals may or may not be moraic. Under the assumption that there is weight-sensitive stress assignment to the final syllables of the words in (1) and (2), Elfner’s analysis predicts that words with final non-coronal stops will have stress on their final syllable, ensuring a full vowel, but those with final coronal stops will often not have final stress, leading to vowel reduction in the unstressed instances.

In this talk, I show that Elfner’s analysis is problematic – it does not **explain** the vowel-reduction behaviour in question. Elfner’s proposal relies upon variable non-exhaustive syllabification: the final coronals of some words, e.g. *idi*[ə]*t*, are argued to function as appendices; those of other words, e.g. *Lillip*[ʌ]*t*, are argued to belong to the word-final syllable. Clearly, Elfner’s proposal is no more than stipulative.

Building upon Burzio’s (1994, 2007) work in Dispersion Theory (Steriade, 1994, 1997), I show that an analysis which assumes an **asymmetrical** relationship between vowel reduction and stress **can** explain the vowel-reduction behaviour seen preceding word-final stops. This finding indicates that analyses which consider multiple causes of vowel reduction in English, such as those possible in Dispersion Theory, deserve greater attention.

References

- Burzio, L. (1994). *Principles of English stress*. Cambridge: CUP.
- Burzio, L. (2007). "Phonology and phonetics of English stress and vowel reduction". *Language Sciences* 29: 154-176.
- Elfner, E. (2007). "Moraic faithfulness: evidence from Blackfoot and English". Paper presented at the 15th Manchester Phonology Meeting, University of Manchester, 26th May 2007.
- Halle, M. & R. Vergnaud (1987). *An essay on stress*. Cambridge, MA: MIT.
- Hayes, B. (1982). "Extrametricity and English stress". *Linguistic Inquiry* 13/2: 227-276.
- Pater, J. (2000). "Non-uniformity in English secondary stress: the role of ranked and lexically specific constraints". *Phonology* 17: 237-74.
- Ross, J.R. (1972). "A reanalysis of English word stress (Part I)". In M.K. Brame (ed.), *Contributions to Generative Phonology*. Austin: University of Texas Press. 229-323.
- Steriade, D. (1994). "Positional neutralization and the expression of contrast". Ms., UCLA.
- Steriade, D. (1997). "Phonetics and phonology: the case of laryngeal neutralization". Ms., UCLA.
- Wells, J.C. (2000). *Longman pronunciation dictionary*. Harlow: Pearson Education Limited.