pair	rns.	pts.	scr.	sig.	def.	mv.	ntc.	2 nd	3 rd	4^{th}	dlg.	final system	notes	
A1	241	179	83	25 .104	9b .037	25lr .104	25 .104	27y .112	93g .386	104r .432	107 .444	1=b; 2=y; 3=g; 5=r	After the stage '2 nd ', this pair proceeded to renegotiate the form of their system, such that a number of movements rather than type of movement was used.	
A2	354	167	17	269 .760	155b .438	269L .760	270 .763	277r .782	never 1.000	never 1.000	never 1.000	df=b; cl/os=r		
A4	157	112	66	48 .308	45r .299	48cl .308	63 .401	87g .554	97b .618	116y .739	106 .675	df=r; cl=g; os=b; C=y		
A6	241	165	39	28 .116	18r .100	28ud .116	78 .324	79y .328	185g .768	never 1.000	196 .813	df=r; os=y; cl=g		
A7	385	262	14	76 .197	25r .073	76cr .197	77 .200	77b .200	312g .810	338y .878	344 .894	df=r; cr=b; lr=g; ud=y		
B3	191	108	14	74 .387	40g .209	74ud .387	103 .539	103y .539	130r .681	180b .942	147 .770	df=g; cl=y; os=r; L=b		
				sig.		ir	nposed	C	letected		dlg.			
A10	201	168	54	2 .010			31 .154		33 .164		69 .343	3=r; 4=b; 5=g; 6=y	One member of this pair reported losing concentration twice after complete system was created. Without such errors the final score would have been 47+38+54=139.	
A11	116	87	49	12 .103			12 .103		44 .379		52 .448	2=r; 4=b; 6=y=; 8=g	This pair's movements were always leftright osciallations. They had one loss of concentration towards the end, without	
B1	133	94	38	30 .226			30 .226		53 .398		90 .677	2=r; 4=b; 6=g; 7=y	which the score would be 49+6=55.	
				sig.										
A3	349	113	7	never 1.000										
A5	132	47	5	never 1.000										
A8	74	22	3	16 .216										

A9	161	47	3	8 .050
A12	72	24	4	70 .972
B2	76	23	3	13 .171
B4	80	19	2	52 .675
В5	308	95	6	never 1.000
B6	135	50	5	never 1.000
B7	308	80	6	never 1.000
B8	82	28	3	1 .012
B9	366	136	5	never 1.000
B10	280	103	6	never 1.000
B11	175	72	4	32 .183
B12	27	7	3	21 .778